# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

## BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/697,429	10/27/2000	Takenobu Tani	43889-992	4792	
75	90 08/03/2004		EXAMINER		
Jack Q Lever Jr			BATAILLE, PIERRE MICHE		
McDermott Wil	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•••	C 20005-3096	2186			
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/03/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 June 2004.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1,3,5,6 and 8 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						اسما
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edetenions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (75 ft 1.33(s), In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after \$5.(c) (n) MONTH'S from the mailling date of this high (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO pends for reply is appelled above, the mainline date is part of the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO pends for reply is application be correctly as a provision of the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO pends for reply is application to be correctly as a provision of the provision of the communication of the provision of the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO pends for reply with the set or extended period for reply with, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (25 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply accepted part term edipatement. Set 97 CFR 1.70-(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 June 2004.  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ○ Claim(s) 1.3.5 and 8 is/are replected.  7) □ Claim(s) 1.3.5 and 8 is/are replected.  7) □ Claim(s) 2 and 4 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are viried that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121  11			Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	1
Pierre-Michel Bataille  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Indications of time may be expendition of 37 CFR 1.13(q). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed detection for reply specified above, the maximum interval of the reply specified above, the maximum interval of the reply specified above is less than thin; (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin; (30) days, will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum date of the maximum date of this communication.  Failure to negly within the set or extended period for reply with by statute, cause the application to become ABAICOVEC (51 St3).  Any reply received by the Office blief than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if emitty field, may reduce any certain them adjunction.  Failure to negly within the set or extended period for reply with by statute, cause the application to become ABAICOVEC (51 St3).  Any reply received by the Office blief than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if emitty field. The emitty field of the communication and the maximum date of the communication, even if emitty field on the emitty of the communication and the maximum date of the communication, even if emitty field on the emitty of the communication and the maximum date of the communication and the mailing date of this communication.  1) Responsive to communication and the mailing date of this communication.  2) Since this application is in condition of a license and the maximum date of the emitty of the maximum date of the communication.  2) Since this application is in condition of a license and the maximum date of the communication.  2) Claim(s) 1-3 siarre pending in the application.  2) Claim(s) 1	Office Action Summary		09/697,42	9	TANI, TAKENOBU	·
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Each Style (a) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  Each Style (a) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply ship is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply ship is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  If all the period of reply ship is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  Feature to reply whithin thes are or extended period for reply will, be statute, cause the application to become APANDCNED (S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office Islant three mains faster the mailing date of this communication, even if smely filed, may reduce any certification to communication is an incommunication.  Feature to reply which the set and shall be			Examiner		Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after Six (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 31 (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply with the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 31 (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by statuth, causes the application to become ABARDADAPIC (60 U.S. 62.85). Near part of the period of t			Pierre-Mic	nel Bataille	2186	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply septed above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is apecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office liker than the or months after the mailing date of this communication, and reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is apecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office liker than the or months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any exame part of the property of the communication.  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 June 2004.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1.3.5 and 8 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 2 and 4 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1.3.5 and 8 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 2 and 4 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to t			ntion appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ss
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 June 2004.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1,3,5,6 and 8 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 2 and 4 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sisions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ication. lays, a reply within the statu ory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a replictory minimum of thirty (3 l expire SIX (6) MONTH cation to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this commit  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1.3.5.6 and 8 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 2 and 4 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Status					
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1.3.5.6 and 8 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 2 and 4 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>17 June 2004</i> .			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.3.5.6 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2 and 4 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	•		on-final.	· ·	, , , , , ,
4)	3)	Since this application is in condition for				erits is
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,5,6 and 8 is/are rejected.  7) ☒ Claim(s) 2 and 4 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Dispositio	on of Claims				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 9 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3,5,6 and 8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2 and 4 is/are objected to.	e withdrawn from co			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application	on Papers				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	9) 🗆 🗆	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	) accepted or b)	objected to by	the Examiner.	
<ul> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119</li> <li>12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>				•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	12)⊠ <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been ocuments have been the priority docume of Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in App nts have been re e 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Sta	ige
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/06/04.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	) Notice ) Notice ) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		Paper No(s)/N 5) Notice of Info	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)

Application/Control Number: 09/697,429 Page 2

Art Unit: 2186

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Correspondence

1. This Office Action is taken in response to Applicant's communication filed on June 17, 2004 in response to Official rejection and Examiner's interview. Applicant's amendments and/or arguments have been considered with the results that follow.

Claims 1-6 and 8 are pending in the application under examination as claims 7 and 9. No claims have been newly added.

#### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The examiner indicated during the interview that the rejection is to be vacated in view of applicant's arguments. A new ground of rejection is introduced in view of newly found references as noted below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 5-6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,078,548 (Jih).

Application/Control Number: 09/697,429

Art Unit: 2186

With respect to claims 1 and 8, Jih teaches a microprocessor provided with a program modification function [(CPU capable of modifying built-in program codes thereof and method) title; abstract; Fig. 2], comprising: an instruction storage unit including a ROM for storing instructions composing a program to be processed (a readonly memory (ROM) 10 (FIG. 2) for storing program codes) and a modified instruction storage unit for storing a modified instruction for program modification (a random-access memory (RAM) 20 (FIG. 2) for storing a special instruction and modified program codes) [abstract; Fig. 2; Col. 1, Lines 56-61]; an address translation unit (special instruction detecting circuit) for receiving an instruction address of an instruction stored in said ROM (special instruction detecting circuit connected to the ROM and the RAM to drive the CPU to transfer to read said modified program codes in the RAM when said special instruction is detected) Tabstract: Col. 2. Lines 5-12, Lines 17-21; Col. 4, Lines 20-41]. Jih fails to explicitly teach translating instruction address into a substitute address at which the modified instruction is stored in said modified instruction storage unit. However, Ogata discloses a system and known method modifying program in the computer system (Fig. 1-2) comprising a microcomputer and external memory, the microcomputer comprising a nonerasable ROM having stored therein a basic program and basic data for controlling the operation of the computer system, a RAM for storing various programs, a CPU for executing programs [Fig. 1], wherein the microcomputer transfers a modification program from the external memory to the RAM [Col. 1, Lines 49-55] and the microcomputer has stored therein a modifying address indicating the location where the Art Unit: 2186

data contained in the basic program is to be modified and a RAM address where the data of the modification program to be substituted at the modifying address is stored [Col. 1, Line 63 to Col. 2, Line 3]. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to translate instruction address into a substitute address, as taught by Ogata, in conjunction to the code update method of Jih, because the microcomputer would have executed the modification program when executing the portion to be modified of the basic program, as taught by Ogata [Col. 1, Lines 56-59].

The modification is proper because Ogata teaches that the basic program is executed as modified when executing the portion to be modified of the basic program [Col. 1, Lines 56-59].

With respect to claim 6, Jih teaches the address translation unit is composed of a field programmable logic which outputs translated address in accordance with received instruction address [Col 3, Line 62 to Col. 4, Line 9].

With respect to claims 3 and 5, Ogata teaches occurrence of an address by k<sup>th</sup> address and reference to code offset to jump to head address of k<sup>th</sup> modification program code [Fig. 2 and Fig. 4]; Jih additionally teaches said address translation unit outputting translated addresses in accordance with received instruction address [abstract; Col. 2, Lines 5-12] and address bit width to be a translation target is changeable when the instruction address is translated [abstract; Col. 2, Lines 22-29; Col. 4, Lines 21-47].

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 6,790,860 (Wetmore et al) teaching method and apparatus for patching code residing on read only memory device.

"Dual Indirect RAM/ROM Jumptable for Firmware Update", IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, vol. 31, No. 1, Jun. 1988, pp. 294-298.

US 2002/062479 (Takata) teaching microcontroller with modifiable program.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre-Michel Bataille whose telephone number is (703) 305-0134. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri (7:30A to 6:00P).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew M. Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3821. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/697,429

Art Unit: 2186

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pierre-Michel Bataille Primary Examiner Art Unit 2186

July 26, 2004